As of February 29, 2024

COMMENTARY

- After months of persistent—and contrarian, we think—views that hawkish commentary from central
 bankers were a more powerful element of narrative than the chorus of commentators who considered
 several 2024 rate cuts a fait accompli, our models have finally shifted: *Dovish* language is now dominant.
- But barely. Language from central bankers continues to cast doubt on market expectations that some, if not quite so many, rate cuts are on their way.
- The difference is that non-central bank missionaries are a bit more coordinated in their attempts to frame economic data as indicative of weakness and inflation data as indicative of little to no residual inflationary pressures.
- Outside of the macro narrative picture, cheapness language from December has transitioned relatively
 quickly to discussions of the surprising valuations of certain technology stocks, and to parallels between
 this market and prior market tops for high-flying, high multiple companies, most notably 1999 and 2000.
- In the aggregate, however, our bearish view—largely wrong for early 2024—has moderated slightly in light of the transition in prevailing central bank policy narratives.

SIGNAL VALUES AS OF FEBRUARY 29, 2024

SIGNAL CATEGORY	SIGNAL STATE	DIRECTION
Central Bank Policy	Dovish	Bullish
Stock Story Types	Fundamentals-Focused	Bearish
Cheap Narrative	Neutral	Neutral
Expensive Narrative	Neutral	Neutral
Cheap vs. Expensive	Expensive	Bearish
Fading Expensive Narrative	Neutral	Neutral
Rising Expensive Narrative	Rising	Bearish
Bullish Narrative	Neutral	Neutral
Bearish vs. Bullish	Neutral	Neutral
Aggregate View		Slightly Bearish



As of February 29, 2024


SIGNAL REFERENCE—CENTRAL BANK POLICY

This model examines the Density and Narrative Strength / Attention of certain archetypal narratives relating to Central Bank Policy. We classify the dominant narrative among five such archetypes, which we believe reflect different states of common knowledge that both respond to and influence investor behavior. Present archetypes include Dovish, Hawkish, Inflation-Focused, Unemployment-Focused and Fed Put. Historical subsequent period results for directional S&P 500 exposure and historical states for the model are presented below.


CURRENT STATE

HAWKISH (BEARISH)

HISTORICAL SIGNAL TRIGGER PERIODS



HISTORICAL MONTHLY S&P RETURNS BY STATE





As of February 29, 2024


SIGNAL REFERENCE—STOCK STORY TYPES

This model examines the Density and Narrative Strength / Attention of certain linguistic archetypes of investment theses promoted in financial media and by sell side research houses. We think there are three such archetypes: multiples-focused, technicals-focused or fundamentals-focused. That is, analysts and commentators tend to describe why investments are attractive or unattractive using one or more of those linguistic sets. We think the market's varying tendency to frame investment outcomes in terms of each of these archetypes is indicative of risk appetites.


CURRENT STATE

FUNDAMENTALS-FOCUSED (BEARISH)

HISTORICAL SIGNAL TRIGGER PERIODS



HISTORICAL MONTHLY S&P RETURNS BY STATE




As of February 29, 2024

SIGNAL REFERENCE—EXTREME CHEAP NARRATIVE

This model examines the Density and Narrative Strength / Attention of narratives describing the stock market in general as being inexpensive, cheap or a "good value." We believe that extreme values for these language patterns may be predictive of marginal investor buying behaviors and above average forward-looking excess returns for equity markets, sectors and individual securities. The signal indicates <u>LONG</u> S&P 500 exposure on an extreme value.

CURRENT STATE	NEUTRAL
HIST. DAILY S&P RETURN WHEN ACTIVE	0.28%



Most Recent >30 Day Triggers	ACTIVE PERIOD S&P RETURN	Ann. Active Period S&P Return
11/10/2022—2/28/2023	5.00%	17.39%
06/10/2022—8/12/2022	6.64%	44.32%
03/24/2020—05/14/2020	27.83%	460.27%
12/28/2018—03/14/2019	13.40%	81.46%

As of February 29, 2024

SIGNAL REFERENCE—EXTREME EXPENSIVE NARRATIVE

This model examines the Density and Narrative Strength / Attention of narratives describing the stock market in general as being expensive or overpriced. We believe that extreme values for these language patterns may be predictive of marginal investor selling behaviors and below average forward-looking excess returns for equity markets, sectors and individual securities. The signal indicates SHORT or REDUCED S&P 500 exposure on an extreme value.

CURRENT STATE	High (Bearish)
HIST. DAILY S&P RETURN WHEN ACTIVE	-0.06%
HISTORICAL SIGNA	L TRIGGER PERIODS
11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2017 2018 2018 2018 2019 2019 2019 2020 2020 2021 2021 2021

Most Recent Triggers	ACTIVE PERIOD S&P RETURN	ANN. ACTIVE PERIOD S&P RETURN
09/05/2023—09/30/2023	-5.37%	-53.91%
09/04/2020—11/04/2020	-0.13%	-0.75%
02/25/2020—03/20/2020	-28.42%	N/A
03/14/2018—04/02/2018	-6.56%	-71.01%


1/1/2 9/1/2 9/1/2 9/1/2 9/1/2 9/1/2 5/1/2 5/1/2 5/1/2 9/2/2 9/2/2 1/19/2 1

As of February 29, 2024

SIGNAL REFERENCE—CHEAP VS. EXPENSIVE

This model examines the relative deviation of the Density and Narrative Strength / Attention of narratives describing the stock market as being cheap and expensive, respectively, relative to their historical norms. In short, we believe that the *relative dominance* of cheap archetypal language over expensive archetypal language may be predictive of investor risk-taking behaviors above and beyond the raw value of the density and influence of cheap language itself. The signal indicates LONG or INCREASED S&P 500 exposure on an extreme value differential in favor of Cheap language.

CURRENT STATE	NEUTRAL
HIST. DAILY S&P RETURN WHEN ACTIVE	0.16%



Most Recent >30 Day Triggers	ACTIVE PERIOD S&P RETURN	Ann. Active Period S&P Return
10/23/2023—12/31/2023	10.92%	71.68%
05/13/2022—03/08/2023	0.45%	0.54%
04/08/2020—06/05/2020	20.53%	217.34%
12/26/2018—03/29/2019	21.23%	111.15%


As of February 29, 2024

SIGNAL REFERENCE—FADING EXPENSIVE NARRATIVE

This model examines the rate of change of the Density and Narrative Strength / Attention of narratives describing the stock market as being expensive. In short, we believe that sharp rates of change in the use of archetypal language in favor of cheapness may be predictive of investor risk-taking behaviors above and beyond the raw value of the density and influence of cheap language itself. The signal indicates INCREASED S&P 500 exposure on a rapid shift away from Expensive archetypal language.

CURRENT STATE	NEUTRAL
HIST. DAILY SEP RETURN WHEN ACTIVE	0.11%

HISTORICAL SIGNAL TRIGGER PERIODS



Most Recent >30 Day Triggers	ACTIVE PERIOD S&P RETURN	Ann. Active Period S&P Return
11/02/2023—12/31/2023	12.29%	102.47%
04/24/2023—06/14/2023	5.36%	44.26%
04/27/2022—8/11/2022	1.18%	4.07%
05/24/2021—01/04/2022	16.38%	27.91%


As of February 29, 2024

SIGNAL REFERENCE—RISING EXPENSIVE NARRATIVE

This model examines the rate of change of the Density and Narrative Strength / Attention of narratives describing the stock market as being expensive. In short, we believe that sharp rates of change in the use of archetypal language in favor of cheapness may be predictive of investor risk-taking behaviors above and beyond the raw value of the density and influence of cheap language itself. The signal indicates REDUCED S&P 500 exposure on a rapid shift toward Expensive archetypal language.

CURRENT STATE	RISING (BEARISH)
HIST. DAILY S&P RETURN WHEN ACTIVE	0.04%

HISTORICAL SIGNAL TRIGGER PERIODS



Most Recent >30 Day Triggers	ACTIVE PERIOD S&P RETURN	Ann. Active Period S&P Return
07/11/2023—10/12/2023	-4.98%	-18.00%
02/03/2023- 03/24/2023	5.24%	45.22%
01/18/2022—04/13/2022	-3.77%	-15.06%
05/02/2019—06/18/2019	0.14%	1.08%


As of February 29, 2024

SIGNAL REFERENCE—BEARISH VS. BULLISH

This model examines the relative deviation of the Density and Narrative Strength / Attention of narratives describing using the tropes and linguistic patterns of bullish and bearish archetypes, respectively, relative to their historical norms. We believe that the *relative dominance* of bearish over bullish archetypes may be indicative of a narrative structure saturated by pessimism in ways that create upside asymmetry to individual assets and risky assets more broadly. The signal indicates LONG or INCREASED S&P 500 exposure on an extreme value differential in favor of Bearish language.

CURRENT STATE	NEUTRAL
HIST. DAILY SEP RETURN WHEN ACTIVE	0.11%





MOST RECENT >30 DAY TRIGGERS	ACTIVE PERIOD S&P RETURN	ANN. ACTIVE PERIOD S&P RETURN
05/10/2022—02/09/2023	0.40%	0.53%
09/27/2021- 12/29/2021	7.96%	34.62%
04/06/2020—07/02/2020	26.38%	164.11%
05/29/2019—12/06/2019	12.74%	25.61%


As of February 29, 2024

SIGNAL REFERENCE—BULLISH NARRATIVE

This model examines the rate of change of the Density and Narrative Strength / Attention of narratives describing bull cases for individual assets or the market in general. We believe that bull case language tends to gain influence after the case has been demonstrated in market performance—thus, it is the relative absence of bull case narratives that we expect to be moderately predictive of above average returns. The signal indicates INCREASED S&P 500 exposure on a low density/influence of Bullish archetypal language.

CURRENT STATE	NEUTRAL
HIST. DAILY S&P RETURN WHEN ACTIVE	0.16%

HISTORICAL SIGNAL TRIGGER PERIODS



Most Recent >30 Day Triggers	ACTIVE PERIOD S&P RETURN	Ann. Active Period S&P Return
09/15/2021—11/03/2021	4.36%	39.25%
03/13/2020—06/05/2020	29.39%	202.32%
08/30/2019—10/15/2019	2.58%	21.87%
05/31/2019—07/12/2019	8.33%	97.22%